UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

HAKEEM PELUMI

.

v. : C.A. No. 09-551ML

:

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, et. al.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Lincoln D. Almond, United States Magistrate Judge

Pending before the Court for a report and recommendation (28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); LR Cv 72) is Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Appeal In Forma Pauperis ("IFP"). (Document No. 51). Because I find that the appeal is groundless and thus not taken in good faith, I recommend that the District Court DENY Plaintiff's Motion.

Plaintiff's right to appeal *in forma pauperis* is governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915 which provides that, "[a]n appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). "Because the good faith standard is an objective one, an appeal is deemed not taken in good faith if the issues presented are frivolous. An appeal is considered frivolous when it is based on an 'indisputably meritless legal theory or factual allegations that are clearly baseless." Lyons v. Wall, No. 04-380, 2007 WL 2067661 at *1 (D.R.I. July 13, 2007) (internal citations omitted).

In the present case, the District Court dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint finding that res judicata precluded Plaintiff from relitigating the claims presented in the instant Complaint which were unsuccessfully pursued by Plaintiff in prior cases. Therefore, Plaintiff's proposed appeal to the First Circuit Court of Appeals presents no cognizable legal theories or meritorious factual

allegations. Accordingly, I recommend that the District Court find that the appeal is not taken in

good faith and DENY Plaintiff's Motion to Appeal IFP (Document No. 51).

Any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be specific and must be filed

with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen (14) days of its receipt. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b);

LR Cv 72. Failure to file specific objections in a timely manner constitutes waiver of the right

to review by the District Court and the right to appeal the District Court's decision. See United

States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4, 6 (1st Cir. 1986); Park Motor Mart, Inc. v. Ford Motor

Co., 616 F.2d 603, 605 (1st Cir. 1980).

/s/ Lincoln D. Almond

LINCOLN D. ALMOND

United States Magistrate Judge

May 9, 2011

-2-